

Sand Volleyball Courts  
Name of Applicant

Heather Harter  
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure

**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>7</u>	X 10 =	<u>70</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>4</u>	X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)
Sub-total Points:		<u>425</u>	
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)			

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>0</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)	<u>0</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?
Total Points	<u>445</u>	(Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Love this idea

Do you recommend this project for funding:  Yes  No

Full Funding  Yes  No Or Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_



Steensports Park

Sand Volleyball Courts

Name of Applicant

Cynthia Campbell

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure

Selection Criteria Summary

(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	X 10 =	100	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
<u>10</u>		<u>100</u>	
(1-10)	X 5 =	50	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
<u>10</u>		<u>50</u>	
(1-5)	X 5 =	25	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
<u>5</u>		<u>25</u>	
(1-10)	X 10 =	100	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
<u>10</u>		<u>100</u>	
(1-10)	X 10 =	100	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
<u>10</u>		<u>100</u>	
(1-10)	X 10 =	100	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
<u>10</u>		<u>100</u>	
(1-5)	X 10 =	50	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)
<u>5</u>		<u>50</u>	

Sub-total Points: 525  
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)	<u>0</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundry?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?

Total Points 585 (Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  Yes  No

Full Funding  Yes  No Or Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

# Green Sports Park KW

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
 (Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

**\$100,000**

Score	Weight	Points	Criteria
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100) <b>Tournaments</b>
(1-10) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>—</u>	X 10 =	<u>—</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (Max Points Possible: 50)

**OIT volleyball camp  
DK (website)**

Sub-total Points:  
 (Sub-total max points possible: 525)

475

**InKind \$17,000**

Add Preference Points

(0-50) <u>—</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10) <u>—</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary?
(0-10) <u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?

**485**

Total Points

10

(Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

**Complete the outdoor, lighted, four court sand volleyball court. Space for food trenches**

**Add to tournament programs.**

Do you recommend this project for funding:

Yes [ ] No

Full Funding [ ] Yes [ ] No Or

Partial funding: \$

Stein's (Sport PK) - Mike Reeder

Julie MATTHEWS

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 5 = <u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 5 = <u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>9</u>	X 10 = <u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>9</u>	X 10 = <u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)

Sub-total Points: 505  
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)	<u>5</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundry?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?

Total Points 570 (Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: This is a Great Project

Do you recommend this project for funding:  Yes  No

Full Funding  Yes  No Or Partial funding: \$ 25K

100K