

Ice Sports

Name of Applicant

Heather Harker

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure

Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10) <u>9</u>	X 10 =	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5) <u>5</u>	X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)
Sub-total Points:		<u>515</u>	
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)			
Add Preference Points			
(0-50)		<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)		<u>10</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary?
(0-10)		<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?
Total Points		<u>585</u>	(Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: Yes No

Full Funding Yes No Or Partial funding: \$

Klamath Ice Sports
Name of Applicant

Cynthia Campbell
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 5 = <u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	<u>3</u>	X 5 = <u>15</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)

Sub-total Points: 515
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?

Total Points 585 (Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: I believe this would bring many more over-night stays than it does now. A good investment.

Do you recommend this project for funding: Yes No

Full Funding Yes No Or Partial funding: \$ _____

Klamath Ice Sports

KW

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Request:
\$100,000
Locker/Bathrooms

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	<u>9</u>	X 10 = <u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100) <i>Nice projected growth chart</i>
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 5 = <u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	<u>5</u>	X 5 = <u>25</u>	Are the <i>building</i> budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>9</u>	X 10 = <u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100) <i>YES! Kingzett, Ford Family, etc (\$ sources)</i>
(1-5)	<u>3</u>	X 10 = <u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50) <i># of tournaments (Does Running Y assist?)</i>
Sub-total Points:		<u>485</u>	
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)			

* Lots of community support.

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)? <i>* October - March</i>
(0-10)	<u>?</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary? <i>* sport court flooring = multiple activities</i>
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?
Total Points	<u>60</u>	(Max total points possible: 595)

545

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Good project - however, \$100,000 is a lot given one budget. It seems they are waiting on other grant sources. The project is well developed.

Do you recommend this project for funding: Yes No

Full Funding Yes No Or Partial funding: \$

Klamath Ice Sports Inc.

Julie Matthews

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application - Infrastructure

Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel Scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the application have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (Max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (Max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? (Max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives (Max Points Possible: 50)

Sub-total Points: 525
(Sub-total max points possible: 525)

Add Preference Points

(0-50)	<u>50</u>	Will the completed project encourage events to be held during the Shoulder Season (October - May)?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Is the project outside the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary?
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	Will the completed project encourage family friendly events?

Total Points 595 (Max total points possible: 595)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Expansion
Great Project for Klamath Tourism + Family!
+ Roller!
+ yr around!

Do you recommend this project for funding: Yes [] No

Full Funding Yes [] No Or Partial funding: \$ _____

\$100k
375k