

Entity Name	K-Falls Essentials	Viewiess Co.	Klamath Hospice	Klamath Ice Sports, Inc	Oregon Institute of Technology
Project	K-Falls Essentials Lavender Farm	Ceramics Additive Manufacturing Expansion	Treasures Storage Containers	Winter Sports and Community Development at Southern Oregon's Premier Ice Arena	Workforce Enhancement Programs for County Students for Industrial Job Creation and Retention
Relative Impact	740	780	380	670	400
Consistent with Local or Regional Plans	390	490	160	400	230
Ability to Complete	225	235	190	295	140
Leverage Funding	360	400	250	520	180
New Services	570	705	270	510	285
Development Economic Partners	310	310	200	370	340
Community Support	220	370	290	470	220
Evaluation Method	310	360	200	320	250
Preference Points:					
Outlying Area	400	0	0	50	150
Average Score from Committee	705	521.4285714	323.3333333	515	439
Total Possible Score from Committee	1000	1000	1000	10000	1000
Funding Requested:	\$ 15,064.00	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 3,920.00	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 30,000.00
Funding Recommended:	\$ 15,064.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ -	\$ 11,040.00	# \$ -
Total Amount Requested	\$ 96,984.00				
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 41,104.00				
Percentage Funded of Requested	42%				
Total Amount Allocated:	\$ 50,000.00				
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 41,104.00				
Amount Remaining:	\$ 8,896.00				


THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE FOREGOING DISTRIBUTION HEREBY IS APPROVED THIS 14 DAY OF October 2020.



CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED



VICE-CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED



COMMISSIONER  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

Klamath Hospice  
Name of Applicant

Betty Riley  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	<u>7</u> X 20 =	<u>140</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	<u>4</u> X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	<u>3</u> X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>7</u> X 15 =	<u>105</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u> X 10 =	<u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>5</u> X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 615  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** 615 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO  
Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Klamath Hospice  
Name of Applicant

Ryan Wheeler  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	X 20 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 5 =	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 15 =	<u>0</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 25  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** 25 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

**Comments:**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO  
Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Klamath Hospice

John C Driscoll

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	X 20 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 5 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 15 =	<u>0</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	<u>0</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 0  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** 0 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: Have other sources SOI < 3,  
which doesn't have much if any way of  
job creation potential

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO

Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Klamath Hospice  
Name of Applicant

Heather Chang  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10) <u>8</u>	X 20 =	<u>160</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10) <u>7</u>	X 10 =	<u>70</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>10</u>	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 15 =	<u>120</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10) <u>5</u>	X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>7</u>	X 10 =	<u>70</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 680  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 680 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO  
Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Treasures

Name of Applicant

Lacey Jamell

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Table with 4 columns: Score, Weight, Points, and Question. Contains 8 rows of evaluation criteria with handwritten scores and calculations.

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 395
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 395 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: N/A

Comments: Recommend seeking funding from other grant sources

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO

Full Funding YES NO OR Partial funding: \$

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Table with 4 columns: Score, Weight, Points, and Description. Rows include criteria such as 'Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?' and 'Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services...'.

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 225
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 225 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: This doesn't meet the grant requirements or spirit.

Do you recommend this project for funding: [ ] YES [X] NO

Full Funding [ ] YES [X] NO OR Partial funding: \$