Sper a Mountain /vail
Name of Applicant

Ocorge Rogers
Name of Reviewer

## Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	X 10 =	60	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 5 =	40	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	X 5 =	25	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	X 10 =	50	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	50	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	X 10 =	80	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5) 4	X 10 =	40	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
TOTAL POINTS		345	(max total points possible: 525)
Reviewer Conflic	t of Intere	st:	
Comments	•		
<del></del>			
Do you recomme	nd this p	roject for fu	nding: ☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$ 12,000

Sence	7/14	 12al	Ì	
Name of Applicant				

Meighnan Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Event
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

				(Tourism Review Panel scoring)	
Score	1	Weight	Points	116111 2	
(1-10)		X 10 =	50	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)	
(1-5)	3	X 10 =	30	Will the project encourage additional overnight stays beyond the project event? (max points possible: 50)	
(1-5)	- 65	X 5 =	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 25)	
(1-5)	5	X 5 = «	<u> 25.</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)	
(1-10)	9	X 10 =	90	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)	
(1-10)	-01	X 10 =	90_	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)	
(1-5)	<u></u>	X 5 =	2.67	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 25)	
(1-10)	1	X 10 =	70	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)	
SUB-TO	OTAL POII	NTS:	405	(Max total points possible: 525)	
Add Preference Points					
(0-10)				Event held during the Shoulder Season – October through May	
(0-10)				Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary	
(0-10)			5_	Family Friendliness	
TOTAL	POINTS		5	(2410)	
Reviewer Conflict of Interest:					
Comi	ments:	3-	mile	trail North Star.	
Would love to see this project completed					
_				nding: PYES   NO Partial funding: \$ at 18ast & 1500	
lo vou r	ecommen	d this pr	oiect for fu	nding: TYES NO Partial funding: \$ 41 1000	

\$25,000

Spence Name of Applicant

Marquel Jame of Revjewer

## Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score		Weight	Points	
(1-10)	5_	X 10 =	50	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	10	X 5 =	50_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-5)	3	X 5 =	15	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25)
(1-10)	8	X 10 =	80	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	5	X 10 =	50	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	16	X 10 =	100	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-5)	2	X 10 =	20	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 50)
TOTAL	POINTS	1	165	(max total points possible: 525)
Reviewe	er Conflic	t of Intere	st:	. (8)
Comments: Little immediate payoff, but powerful long-term benefit.				
KTA	has	vecei	Jed Sul	ostantial Support from County, but also brought in
out of avea dollars.				
Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$				
(25) 25, re				

Spence mountain Truit

Name of Reviewer

Name of Applicant

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure

## Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

**Score** Weight Points Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of X 10 =(1-10)100 county visitors? (max points possible: 100) Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? 25 Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50) Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? (max points possible: 25) X 5 = (1-5)25 Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding? (1-10)X 10 =(max points possible: 100) X 10 = Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create (1-10)sustainable 00 marketing networks? (max points possible: 100) Is there demonstrated community support? (1-10) /O X 10 = 100 Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100) X 10 =Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points (1-5)possible: 50) 50 (max total points possible: 525) **TOTAL POINTS** Reviewer Conflict of Interest: Comments

Do you recommend this project for funding: X YES D NO Partial funding: \$ 15000